

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,689	02/11/2002	Harold J. Plourde JR.	A-7420 4414	
7590 06/30/2006		EXAMINER		
Brinks Hoffr Gilson & Lione			VENT, JAMIE J	
NBC Tower Suite-3600 455 N Cityfront Plaza Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60611			2621	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/073,689	PLOURDE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jamie Vent	2621	

	Jamie Vent	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	□ will not be entered or b) ⊠ wi	Il be entered and an	ovalanation of			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii de entered and an (	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-5, 7-12, 14-15, 17-19, 21, 23-27, 29-</u>	32, 35-38, and 40-58.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	at la afana an an tha data af filina a Ni	ation of American				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered busee attached.</li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)				

Application/Control Number: 10/073,689

Art Unit: 2621

## Response to Arguments

On pages 10-17 applicant argues that Young in view of Lemmons fails to teach. disclose or fairly suggest the following limitation, "assigning a second color responsive to determining that the television presentation has a time conflict with the another television presentation that is to be recorded" as recited in Claim 41. Lemmons discloses a program guide system wherein the user selects the desired color of the background of the various options of the EPG (Figures 6 and 10 the user has the option to choose color of various aspects of the EPG including a specific color for an actor to a color based on the recording of a show as further described in Column 9 Lines 43+). The choice of allowing the user to control color options allows for a personal EPG. Furthermore, it is well known in the art that if a conflict is occurring for more than one recording of a program the EPG will display the conflict to the user. This is mentioned in Young Column 7 Lines 40+ through Column 8 Lines 1-30. Therefore, it would be obvious for the system to have a user select a personal color for a conflict situation wherein a conflict occurs with recording one or more programs and thereby meets the claimed limitations.

PERMATIVE EXAMINETA

Page 2